

# ATTACHMENT A

## Non-Regulatory Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan For The Colorado River Basin Region To Correct or Update Language, Tables, and Figures

This amendment revises Chapter 1, 3, 4, and 5 of the Colorado River Basin Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan). The amendment updates outdated information and water quality objective tables; corrects typographical errors and ambiguities; and makes the Basin Plan consistent with laws and regulations adopted subsequent to 1994 when the Basin Plan was first adopted. Revisions to Chapter 1, 3, 4, and 5 are shown below in underlined and strikethrough format. Proposed additions are denoted by underlined text, proposed deletions are denoted by ~~strikethrough text~~. Pages inside brackets “[ ]” refer to pages in the Basin Plan.

### AMENDMENT

1. To cover page of Basin Plan, change revision date to current revision date as shown:

**WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN**  
COLORADO RIVER BASIN - REGION 7  
~~Includes Amendments Adopted by the Regional Board through June 2006~~  
Revised on [Insert Date of OAL Approval]

2. Replace Table of Contents as shown:

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION.....	1
I. THE REGIONAL BOARD.....	1
II. FUNCTION OF THE BASIN PLAN.....	1
III. LEGAL BASIS AND AUTHORITY.....	2
A. FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	2
B. CALIFORNIA STATUTORY AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAWS.....	3
C. OTHER PLANNING AGENCIES.....	5
IV. THE PLANNING PROCESS.....	5
A. BASIN PLAN AMENDMENT PROCESS.....	5
B. TRIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS.....	6
V. THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN REGION.....	6
A. GEOGRAPHICAL SETTING.....	6
B. GEOLOGY.....	7
C. MAJOR HYDROLOGIC FEATURES.....	7
D. CLIMATE.....	8
E. FISH AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES.....	8

VI. PLANNING AREAS.....	9
A. LUCERNE VALLEY PLANNING AREA.....	9
B. HAYFIELD PLANNING AREA.....	9
C. COACHELLA VALLEY PLANNING AREA.....	11
D. ANZA-BORREGO PLANNING AREA.....	12
E. IMPERIAL VALLEY PLANNING AREA.....	12
F. SALTON SEA PLANNING AREA.....	13
G. EAST COLORADO RIVER BASIN PLANNING AREA.....	13

CHAPTER 2 - BENEFICIAL USES.....	1
I. PAST OR HISTORICAL BENEFICIAL USES.....	1
II. PRESENT BENEFICIAL USES.....	2
III. POTENTIAL BENEFICIAL USES.....	2
IV. SOURCES OF DRINKING WATER POLICY <sup>3</sup> .....	3
A. SURFACE AND GROUND WATERS WHERE:.....	3
B. SURFACE WATERS WHERE:.....	3
C. GROUND WATERS WHERE:.....	3
D. REGIONAL BOARD AUTHORITY TO AMEND USE DESIGNATIONS:.....	3
TABLE 2-1: DEFINITIONS OF THE BENEFICIAL USES OF WATER.....	5
TABLE 2-2: BENEFICIAL USES OF SURFACE WATERS IN THE EAST COLORADO RIVER BASIN.....	7
TABLE 2-3: BENEFICIAL USES OF SURFACE WATERS IN THE WEST COLORADO RIVER BASIN.....	9
TABLE 2-4: BENEFICIAL USES OF WATERS FROM SPRINGS IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.....	13
TABLE 2-5: BENEFICIAL USES OF GROUND WATERS IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN <sup>1</sup> .....	18

CHAPTER 3 - WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES.....	1
I. GENERAL OBJECTIVES.....	1
II. GENERAL SURFACE WATER OBJECTIVES.....	1
A. AESTHETIC QUALITIES.....	1
B. TAINING SUBSTANCES.....	2
C. TOXICITY <sup>+</sup> .....	2
D. TEMPERATURE.....	2
E. pH.....	2
F. DISSOLVED OXYGEN.....	2
G. SUSPENDED SOLIDS AND SETTLEABLE SOLIDS.....	2
H. TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS.....	2
I. BACTERIA.....	3
J. BIOSTIMULATORY SUBSTANCES.....	3
K. SEDIMENT.....	3
L. TURBIDITY.....	3
M. RADIOACTIVITY.....	3
N. CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS WASTES.....	4
III. SPECIFIC SURFACE WATER OBJECTIVES.....	4
A. COLORADO RIVER.....	4
B. NEW RIVER.....	5
C. SALTON SEA.....	7
D. IRRIGATION SUPPLY CANALS.....	7
IV. GROUND WATER OBJECTIVES.....	7
A. TASTE AND ODORS.....	8
B. BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY.....	8
C. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL QUALITY.....	8

D. BRINES.....	8
E. RADIOACTIVITY.....	8
F. GROUND WATER OVERDRAFT.....	8
CHAPTER 4 - IMPLEMENTATION.....	1
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
A. REGIONAL BOARD GOALS AND MANAGEMENT PRINCIPALS.....	1
B. GENERAL IMPLEMENTATION.....	1
II. POINT SOURCE CONTROLS.....	2
A. GEOTHERMAL DISCHARGES.....	2
B. SLUDGE APPLICATION.....	3
C. MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS.....	3
D. WASTEWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE.....	3
F. STORMWATER.....	4
G. BRINE DISCHARGES.....	4
H. SEPTIC SYSTEMS.....	5
Cathedral City Cove.....	5
Cathedral City Cove - Reports.....	5
Mission Creek or Desert Hot Springs Aquifers.....	5
III. NONPOINT SOURCE CONTROLS.....	6
A. AGRICULTURE.....	7
B. STATE WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION.....	8
IV. SPECIFIC IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS.....	8
A. NEW RIVER POLLUTION BY MEXICO.....	8
B. SALTON SEA.....	12
C. TOXICITY OBJECTIVE COMPLIANCE.....	14
D. DISPOSAL OF WASTE TO INDIAN LAND.....	14
V. TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS (TMDLs) AND IMPLEMENTATION PLANS.....	14
A. NEW RIVER PATHOGEN TMDL.....	14
TABLE A-1: NEW RIVER PATHOGEN TMDL ELEMENTS.....	15
B. ALAMO RIVER SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL.....	19
TABLE B-1: ALAMO RIVER SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL	
ELEMENTS <sup>+</sup> .....	20
TABLE B-1A <sup>+</sup> : WASTE LOAD ALLOCATIONS FOR POINT SOURCES IN	
THE ALAMO RIVER WATERSHED.....	23
TABLE B-2: INTERIM NUMERIC TARGETS FOR ATTAINMENT OF THE TMDL <sup>+</sup> .....	24
C. NEW RIVER SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL.....	24
TABLE C-1: NEW RIVER SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL ELEMENTS.....	24
TABLE C-2: INTERIM NUMERIC TARGETS FOR ATTAINMENT OF THE TMDL.....	28
D. IMPERIAL VALLEY DRAINS SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL.....	28
TABLE D-1: IMPERIAL VALLEY DRAINS (NILAND 2, P, AND PUMICE)	
SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL ELEMENTS.....	29
E. FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS AND REGULATIONS FOR ALL	
IMPERIAL VALLEY SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDLs.....	33
F. NEW RIVER AT THE INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY TRASH TMDL.....	40
VI. ACTIONS OF OTHER AUTHORITIES.....	46
VII. PROHIBITIONS.....	46
A. IMPERIAL VALLEY SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION.....	46
CHAPTER 5 - PLANS, POLICIES AND ISSUES.....	1
I. STATE BOARD PLANS AND POLICIES.....	1
A. RESOLUTION No. 68-16.....	1
B. WATER QUALITY CONTROL.....	1
C. THERMAL PLAN.....	1

D. POWER PLANT COOLING.....	1
E. WATER RECLAMATION.....	1
F. SHREDDER WASTE.....	1
G. NON POINT SOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	1
H. SOURCES OF DRINKING WATER POLICY.....	1
II. REGIONAL BOARD POLICIES.....	1
A. SEWERAGE SYSTEMS.....	1
B. SEWAGE DISPOSAL FROM LAND DEVELOPMENTS.....	1
C. MOU WITH THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT.....	1
D. WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENT.....	2
E. MOA's.....	2
F. WATER QUALITY ASSESMENT.....	2
G. AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE.....	2
H. WAIVER FOR WASTE DISCHARGES.....	2
III. REGIONAL BOARD ISSUES.....	2
A. SEPTIC SYSTEM IMPACTS TO GROUND WATER BASINS.....	2
B. BENEFICIAL USE DESIGNATIONS OF AQUIFERS.....	2
C. GEOTHERMAL FLUIDS.....	2

CHAPTER 6 - SURVEILLANCE, MONITORING AND WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT.....	1
I. STATEWIDE MONITORING.....	1
II. REGIONAL BOARD MONITORING.....	2
A. SURFACE WATER MONITORING.....	2
TABLE 6-1: PRIMARY NETWORK STATIONS.....	2
B. COMPLIANCE MONITORING.....	3
C. COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION.....	4
D. INTENSIVE SURVEYS.....	4
TABLE 6-2: PRELIMINARY BIOMONITORING SCREENING LOCATIONS.....	5
E. TOXIC SUBSTANCES MONITORING.....	6
TABLE 6-3: TSM PROGRAM – STATION SAMPLING HISTORIES.....	6
F. TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE AND ENFORCEMENT.....	7
III. WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES.....	9
IV. QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL.....	10

**APPENDIX A - Regional Ground Water Basin (Hydrologic Unit) Map and Index**

**MAP A -** Foldout Regional Ground Water Basin (Hydrologic Unit) Map

**MAP B -** Foldout Regional Aquifer Map

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
I. THE REGIONAL BOARD.....	1
II. FUNCTION OF THE BASIN PLAN.....	1
III. LEGAL BASIS AND AUTHORITY.....	2
A. FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS .....	2
B. CALIFORNIA STATUTORY AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAWS.....	3
C. OTHER PLANNING AGENCIES.....	5
IV. THE PLANNING PROCESS.....	5
A. BASIN PLAN AMENDMENT PROCESS.....	5
B. TRIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS.....	6
V. THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN REGION.....	6
A. GEOGRAPHICAL SETTING.....	6
B. GEOLOGY.....	7
C. MAJOR HYDROLOGIC FEATURES.....	7
D. CLIMATE.....	8
E. FISH AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES.....	8
VI. PLANNING AREAS.....	9
A. LUCERNE VALLEY PLANNING AREA.....	9
B. HAYFIELD PLANNING AREA.....	9
C. COACHELLA VALLEY PLANNING AREA.....	11
D. ANZA-BORREGO PLANNING AREA.....	12
E. IMPERIAL VALLEY PLANNING AREA.....	12
F. SALTON SEA PLANNING AREA.....	13
G. EAST COLORADO RIVER BASIN PLANNING AREA.....	13
<b>CHAPTER 2 - BENEFICIAL USES</b> .....	<b>1</b>
I. PAST OR HISTORICAL BENEFICIAL USES.....	1
II. PRESENT BENEFICIAL USES.....	2
III. POTENTIAL BENEFICIAL USES.....	2
IV. SOURCES OF DRINKING WATER POLICY <sup>3</sup> .....	3
A. SURFACE AND GROUND WATERS WHERE:.....	3
B. SURFACE WATERS WHERE:.....	3
C. GROUND WATERS WHERE:.....	3
D. REGIONAL BOARD AUTHORITY TO AMEND USE DESIGNATIONS:.....	3
<b>CHAPTER 3 - WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES</b> .....	<b>1</b>
I. GENERAL OBJECTIVES.....	1
II. GENERAL SURFACE WATER OBJECTIVES.....	1
A. AESTHETIC QUALITIES.....	1
B. TAINING SUBSTANCES.....	2
C. TOXICITY <sup>1</sup> .....	2
D. TEMPERATURE.....	2
E. PH.....	2
F. DISSOLVED OXYGEN .....	2
G. SUSPENDED SOLIDS AND SETTLEABLE SOLIDS.....	2
H. TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS.....	2
I. BACTERIA.....	3
J. BIOSTIMULATORY SUBSTANCES .....	3
K. SEDIMENT.....	3
L. TURBIDITY.....	3

M.	RADIOACTIVITY.....	3
N.	CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS WASTES.....	4
III.	SPECIFIC SURFACE WATER OBJECTIVES .....	4
A.	COLORADO RIVER.....	4
B.	NEW RIVER.....	5
C.	SALTON SEA.....	7
D.	IRRIGATION SUPPLY CANALS.....	7
IV.	GROUND WATER OBJECTIVES.....	7
A.	TASTE AND ODORS.....	8
B.	BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY .....	8
C.	CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL QUALITY .....	8
D.	BRINES.....	8
E.	RADIOACTIVITY.....	8
F.	GROUND WATER OVERDRAFT .....	8

**CHAPTER 4 - IMPLEMENTATION .....** 1

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	REGIONAL BOARD GOALS AND MANAGEMENT PRINCIPALS.....	1
B.	GENERAL IMPLEMENTATION.....	1
II.	POINT SOURCE CONTROLS.....	2
A.	GEOTHERMAL DISCHARGES.....	2
B.	SLUDGE APPLICATION.....	3
C.	MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS.....	3
D.	WASTEWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE.....	3
F.	STORMWATER.....	4
G.	BRINE DISCHARGES.....	4
H.	SEPTIC SYSTEMS .....	5
CATHEDRAL CITY COVE.....	5	
CATHEDRAL CITY COVE - REPORTS .....	5	
MISSION CREEK OR DESERT HOT SPRINGS AQUIFERS.....	5	
III.	NONPOINT SOURCE CONTROLS.....	6
A.	AGRICULTURE.....	7
B.	STATE WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION .....	8
IV.	SPECIFIC IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS.....	8
A.	NEW RIVER POLLUTION BY MEXICO.....	8
B.	SALTON SEA.....	12
C.	TOXICITY OBJECTIVE COMPLIANCE.....	14
D.	DISPOSAL OF WASTE TO INDIAN LAND.....	14
V.	TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS (TMDLS) AND IMPLEMENTATION PLANS.....	14
A.	NEW RIVER PATHOGEN TMDL.....	14
B.	ALAMO RIVER SEDIMENTATION/ SILTATION TMDL.....	19
C.	NEW RIVER SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL .....	24
D.	IMPERIAL VALLEY DRAINS SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL.....	28
E.	FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS AND REGULATIONS FOR ALL IMPERIAL VALLEY SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDLS .....	33
F.	NEW RIVER AT THE INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY TRASH TMDL.....	40
VI.	ACTIONS OF OTHER AUTHORITIES.....	46
VII.	PROHIBITIONS .....	46
A.	IMPERIAL VALLEY SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION.....	46

<b>CHAPTER 5- PLANS, POLICIES AND ISSUES</b> .....	1
I. STATE BOARD PLANS AND POLICIES.....	1
A. RESOLUTION NO. 68-16.....	1
B. WATER QUALITY CONTROL.....	1
C. THERMAL PLAN.....	1
D. POWER PLANT COOLING.....	1
E. WATER RECLAMATION.....	1
F. SHREDDER WASTE.....	1
G. NON POINT PROGRAM STRATEGY AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.....	1
H. SOURCES OF DRINKING WATER POLICY.....	1
I. RECYCLED WATER POLICY.....	1
II. REGIONAL BOARD POLICIES.....	1
A. SEWERAGE SYSTEMS.....	1
B. SEWAGE DISPOSAL FROM LAND DEVELOPMENTS.....	1
C. MOU WITH THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT .....	1
D. WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENT.....	2
E. MOA'S .....	2
F. WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT.....	2
G. AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE .....	2
III. REGIONAL BOARD ISSUES.....	2
A. SEPTIC SYSTEM IMPACTS TO GROUND WATER BASINS.....	2
B. BENEFICIAL USE DESIGNATIONS OF AQUIFERS.....	2
C. GEOTHERMAL FLUIDS.....	2

<b>CHAPTER 6 - SURVEILLANCE, MONITORING AND WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT</b> .....	1
I. STATEWIDE MONITORING.....	1
II. REGIONAL BOARD MONITORING .....	2
A. SURFACE WATER MONITORING.....	2
B. COMPLIANCE MONITORING.....	3
C. COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION.....	4
D. INTENSIVE SURVEYS.....	4
E. TOXIC SUBSTANCES MONITORING.....	6
F. TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE AND ENFORCEMENT.....	7
III. WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES.....	9
IV. QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL .....	10

**APPENDIX A - REGIONAL GROUND WATER BASIN (HYDROLOGIC UNIT) MAP AND INDEX**

**MAP A - FOLDOUT REGIONAL GROUND WATER BASIN (HYDROLOGIC UNIT) MAP**

**MAP B - FOLDOUT REGIONAL AQUIFER MAP**

**3. “List of Tables” and “List of Figures”**

Include the “List of Tables”, and “List of Figures” shown below, after the “Table of Contents”.

## LIST OF TABLES

### CHAPTER 2 – BENEFICIAL USES

<u>TABLE 2-1:</u>	<u>DEFINITIONS OF THE BENEFICIAL USES OF WATER.....</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>TABLE 2-2:</u>	<u>BENEFICIAL USES OF SURFACE WATERS IN THE</u> <u>EAST COLORADO RIVER BASIN.....</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>TABLE 2-3:</u>	<u>BENEFICIAL USES OF SURFACE WATERS IN THE</u> <u>WEST COLORADO RIVER BASIN .....</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>TABLE 2-4:</u>	<u>BENEFICIAL USES OF WATERS FROM SPRINGS IN THE</u> <u>COLORADO RIVER BASIN.....</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>TABLE 2-5:</u>	<u>BENEFICIAL USES OF GROUND WATERS IN THE</u> <u>COLORADO RIVER BASIN<sup>1</sup> .....</u>	<u>18</u>

### CHAPTER 4 - IMPLEMENTATION

<u>TABLE 4-1:</u>	<u>COMPARISON OF MONITORING RESULTS BEFORE AND</u> <u>AFTER BI-NATIONAL PROJECTS.....</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>TABLE 4-2:</u>	<u>NEW RIVER PATHOGEN TMDL ELEMENTS.....</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>TABLE 4-3:</u>	<u>SCHEDULE FOR DRAFT REVISED NPDES PERMITS.....</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>TABLE 4-4:</u>	<u>ALAMO RIVER SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL ELEMENTS<sup>1</sup>.....</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>TABLE 4-5:</u>	<u>WASTE LOAD ALLOCATIONS FOR POINT SOURCES IN</u> <u>THE ALAMO RIVER WATERSHED.....</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>TABLE 4-6:</u>	<u>INTERIM NUMERIC TARGETS FOR ATTAINMENT OF THE</u> <u>SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL<sup>1</sup> FOR THE ALAMO RIVER.....</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>TABLE 4-7:</u>	<u>NEW RIVER SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL ELEMENTS .....</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>TABLE 4-8:</u>	<u>INTERIM NUMERIC TARGETS FOR ATTAINMENT OF THE</u> <u>SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL FOR THE NEW RIVER.....</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>TABLE 4-9:</u>	<u>IMPERIAL VALLEY DRAINS (NILAND 2, P, AND PUMICE)</u> <u>SEDIMENTATION/ SILTATION TMDL ELEMENTS.....</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>TABLE 4-10:</u>	<u>INTERIM NUMERIC TARGETS FOR ATTAINMENT OF THE</u> <u>SEDIMENTATION/SILTATION TMDL FOR IMPERIAL VALLEY DRAINS.....</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>TABLE 4-11:</u>	<u>SEDIMENT CONTROL PROGRAM DUE DATES.....</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>TABLE 4-12:</u>	<u>REVISED DWQIP DUE DATES.....</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>TABLE 4-13:</u>	<u>IID SUBMISSION OF DATA ON AGRICULTURAL DISCHARGES DUE DATES.....</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>TABLE 4-14:</u>	<u>TECHNICAL REPORT DUE DATES.....</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>TABLE 4-15:</u>	<u>LETTER ISSUE DUE DATES.....</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>TABLE 4-16:</u>	<u>LIST OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS DUE DATES.....</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>TABLE 4-17:</u>	<u>ICFB WATERSHED PROGRAM PLAN DUE DATES.....</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>TABLE 4-18:</u>	<u>TRACKING IMPLEMENTATION PLAN DUE DATES.....</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>TABLE 4-19:</u>	<u>NEW RIVER AT THE INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY TRASH TMDL ELEMENTS.....</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>TABLE 4-20:</u>	<u>TIME SCHEDULE FOR IMPLEMENTATION PLAN PHASES AND NUMERIC</u> <u>TARGETS FOR TRASH IN THE NEW RIVER AT THE INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY....</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>TABLE 4-21:</u>	<u>REQUESTED ACTIONS FOR THIRD PARTY COOPERATING</u> <u>AGENCIES AND ORGANIZATIONS.....</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>TABLE 4-22:</u>	<u>REQUESTED TRASH REDUCTION ACTIONS FOR THE UNITED STATES</u> <u>INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION (USIBWC) AND USEPA.....</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>TABLE 4-23:</u>	<u>REQUESTED MONITORING ACTIONS FOR THE USIBWC AND USEPA.....</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>TABLE 4-24:</u>	<u>TMDL REVIEW SCHEDULE.....</u>	<u>45</u>

**CHAPTER 6 - SURVEILLANCE, MONITORING AND WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT**

TABLE 6-1: PRIMARY NETWORK STATIONS..... 2  
TABLE 6-2: PRELIMINARY BIOMONITORING SCREENING LOCATIONS..... 5  
TABLE 6-3: TSM PROGRAM – STATION SAMPLING HISTORIES..... 6

**LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE 1-1: COLORADO RIVER BASIN PLANNING AREAS.....1-10  
FIGURE 4-1: DRAINS (Niland 2, P, and Pumice and Their Tributary Drains) FOR WHICH  
ALLOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED IN THIS TMDL..... 4-29

## CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

### 1. “VI. PLANNING AREAS”, [page 1-9]

Revise figure reference label at the end of the first paragraph as indicated:

For planning and reporting purposes, the Region has been divided into the following seven major planning areas on the basis of different economic and hydrologic characteristics (~~Plate 1-1~~): (Figure 1-1):

### 2. “VI. PLANNING AREAS”, [page 1-10]

Revise figure reference label at the top of the page as indicated:

~~Plate 1-1~~. Figure 1-1. Colorado River Basin Planning Areas-

### 3. “2. Ground Water Hydrology”, [page 1-12].

Insert the new paragraph below discussing ground water recharge projects in the Coachella Valley Ground Water Basin as a fifth (i.e., last) paragraph:

Efforts to recharge the ground water basin in the Coachella Valley began in 1919 when the Coachella Valley County Water District constructed facilities to capture natural flows from the Whitewater River channel to recharge the upper portion of the Whitewater River Subbasin. In 1973, the Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD) and Desert Water Agency (DWA) began importing Colorado River water to the Whitewater recharge facility from Metropolitan Water District of Southern California's Colorado River Aqueduct in exchange for State Water Project water to increase ground water recharge for the upper portion of the Whitewater River Subbasin. In 2002, CVWD and DWA completed construction of the Mission Creek recharge facility and began recharging the Mission Creek Subbasin with imported Colorado River water. Colorado River water is also used by CVWD to recharge the lower portion of the Whitewater River Subbasin at two sites in the Eastern Coachella Valley. Recharge at the Dike 4 recharge facility located in La Quinta began in 1997 and recharge at the Martinez Canyon recharge facility located in Oasis began in 2005. Ground water producers throughout the Coachella Valley are cooperating partners in these ground water recharge projects, which are funded by the replenishment assessment programs.

## CHAPTER 3 - WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES

**1. “D. TEMPERATURE”, [page 3-2]**

Revise the first paragraph to read as follows:

The natural receiving water temperature of surface waters shall not be altered by discharges of wastewater ~~waste~~ unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Regional Board that such alteration in temperature does not adversely affect beneficial uses.

**2. “M. RADIOACTIVITY”, page [3-3]**

Revise the second paragraph to read as follows:

Waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of radionuclides in excess of the limits specified in ~~the California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Chapter 15, Article 5, Section 64443, as listed below: Table 64443 (Radionuclide) of Section 64443 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, which is incorporated by reference into this plan. This incorporation by reference is prospective, including future revisions to the incorporated provisions as the revisions take effect. Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for Radionuclides in the table below are as amended on May 21, 2009.~~

**3. “M. RADIOACTIVITY”, page [3-3]**

Correct typographical error for unit of measure for radioactivity in MCL table as indicated:

<u>Constituent</u>	Maximum Contaminant Level, <del>pCi/L</del> <u>pCi/L</u>
Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228.....	5
Gross Alpha Particle activity (including Radium-26 but excluding Radon and Uranium).....	15
Tritium.....	20,000
Strontium-90.....	8
Gross Beta particle activity.....	50
Uranium.....	20

**4. “N. CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS”, [page 3-4]**

Revise the last sentence in the first paragraph to read as follows:

Waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of the limits specified below: maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) based upon drinking water standards specified in the following provisions of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, which are incorporated by reference into this plan: Table 64431-A of Section 64431 (Inorganic Chemicals), Table 64433.2 –A of Section 64433.2 (Fluoride), Table 64444-A of Section 64444 (Organic Chemicals), Table 64449-A of Section 64449 (Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels-Ranges). This incorporation is prospective, including future revisions to the incorporated provisions as the revisions take effect. The Regional Board acknowledges that specific treatment requirements are imposed by state and federal drinking water regulations on the consumption of surface waters under specific circumstances. To protect all beneficial uses, the Regional Board may apply limits more stringent than MCLs.

**5. “N. CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS”, [page 3-4]**

Update the table “Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for Organic and Inorganic Chemicals” and table “Limiting Concentrations of Fluoride” to read as follows:

Maximum Contaminant Levels\* (MCLs) for Organic and Inorganic Chemicals  
As amended on May 21, 2009

<u>Inorganic Chemical Constituents</u>	<u>MCL*, mg/L</u>
Arsenic.....	<del>0.05</del> <u>0.01</u>
Barium .....	1.0
Cadmium.....	<del>0.010</del> <u>0.005</u>
Chromium .....	0.05
Lead .....	<del>05</del> <u>0.015</u>
Mercury .....	0.002
Nitrate (as Nitrogen) .....	10.0
Selenium .....	<del>0.01</del> <u>0.05</u>
Silver.....	<del>05</del> <u>0.10</u>
<u>Organic Chemical Constituents</u>	<u>MCL*, mg/L</u>
(a) Chlorinated Hydrocarbons	
Endrin.....	0.002
Lindane.....	<del>0.004</del> <u>0.0002</u>
Methoxychlor.....	<del>0.1</del> <u>0.03</u>
Toxaphene.....	<del>0.005</del> <u>0.003</u>

(b) Chlorophenoxy	
2,4-D.....	<del>0.1</del> <u>0.07</u>
2,4,5-TP Silvex.....	<del>0.01</del> <u>0.05</u>

Optimal Fluoride Levels  
as amended May 21, 2009

Limiting Concentrations of Fluoride

Annual Average of Maximum  
Daily Air Temperature

Fluoride Concentrations mg/l

Degrees Fahrenheit	Degrees Celsius	Lower	Optimum	Upper* High	MCL
<del>Below</del> 53.8	<del>Below</del> 12.1	<del>0.9</del> <u>1.1</u>	1.2	1.7	<del>2.4</del>
53.8 to 58.3	12.1 to 14.6	<del>0.8</del> <u>1.0</u>	1.1	<del>1.5</del> <u>1.6</u>	<del>2.2</del>
58.4 to 63.8	14.7 to 17.6	<del>0.8</del> <u>0.9</u>	1.0	<del>1.3</del> <u>1.5</u>	<del>2.0</del>
63.9 to 70.6	17.7 to 21.4	<del>0.7</del> <u>0.8</u>	0.9	<del>1.2</del> <u>1.4</u>	<del>1.8</del>
70.7 to 79.2	21.5 to 26.2	0.7	0.8	<del>1.0</del> <u>1.3</u>	<del>1.6</del>
79.3 to 90.5	26.3 to 32.5	0.6	0.7	<del>0.8</del> <u>1.2</u>	<del>1.4</del>

6. “B. BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY”, [page 3-8]

Revise citation for California Code of Regulations to read as follows:

In ground waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN), the concentration of coliform organisms shall not exceed the limits specified in California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Chapter 15, Article 3 Section 64426.1 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations.

7. “C. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL QUALITY”, [page 3-8]

Revise citation for California Code of Regulations to read as follows:

Ground waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of the limits specified in California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Chapter 15, Article 4, Section 64435, Tables 2, 3, and 4 as a result of human activity. maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) specified in the following provisions of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, which are incorporated by reference into this plan: Table 64431-A of Section 64431 (Inorganic Chemicals), Table 64433.2-A of Section 64433.2 (Fluoride), Table 64444-A of Section 64444 (Organic Chemicals), Table 64449-A of Section 64449 (Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels). This incorporation is prospective, including future revisions to the incorporated provisions as the revisions take effect. The Regional Board acknowledges that specific treatment requirements are imposed by state and federal drinking water regulations on the consumption of surface waters under specific circumstances. To protect all beneficial uses, the Regional Board may apply limits more stringent than MCLs.

8. “E. RADIOACTIVITY”, [page 3-8]

Revise citation for California Code of Regulations to read as follows:

Ground water designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain radioactive material in excess of the ~~limits specified in California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Chapter 15, Article 5, Sections 64441 and 64443. The limits contained in Section 64443 are included under item “II. M. Radioactivity,” in this Chapter.~~ maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) specified in Table 94443 (Radionuclide MCLs) of Section 64443 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), which are incorporated by reference into this plan. This incorporation by reference is prospective, including future revisions to the incorporated provisions as the revisions take effect.

## CHAPTER 4 - IMPLEMENTATION

1. Revise labels for the Tables and Figures below as indicated:

- a. [Page 4-15]:  
Table ~~A-1~~ 4-2: New River Pathogen TMDL Elements
- b. [Page 4-18]:  
Table ~~A-2~~. 4-3: Schedule for Draft Revised NPDES Permits
- c. [Page 4-20]:  
Table ~~B-1~~ 4-4: Alamo River Sedimentation/Siltation TMDL Elements<sup>1</sup> (continued)
- d. [Page 4-23]:  
Table ~~B-1A~~<sup>+</sup> 4-5: Waste Load Allocations for Point Sources in the Alamo River Watershed
- e. [Page 4-24]:  
Table ~~B-2~~ 4-6: Interim Numeric Targets for Attainment of the Sedimentation/Siltation TMDL<sup>+</sup> for the Alamo River
- f. [Page 4-24]:  
Table ~~C-1~~ 4-7: New River Sedimentation/Siltation TMDL Elements
- g. [Page 4-28]:  
Table ~~C-2~~ 4-8: Interim Numeric Targets for Attainment of the Sedimentation/Siltation TMDL for the New River

- h. [Page 4-29]:  
Figure ~~D-1~~ 4-1: Drains (Niland 2, P, and Pumice and Their Tributary Drains) for Which Allocations Have Been Specified in This TMDL
- i. [Page 4-29]:  
Table ~~D-1~~ 4-9: Imperial Valley Drains (Niland 2, P, and Pumice) Sedimentation/Siltation TMDL Elements
- j. [Page 4-32]:  
Table ~~D-2~~ 4-10: Interim Numeric Targets for Attainment of the Sedimentation/Siltation TMDL for Imperial Valley Drains
- k. [Page 4-33]:  
Table ~~E-1~~ 4-11: Sediment Control Program Due Dates
- l. [Page 4-34]:  
Table ~~E-2~~ 4-12: Revised DWQIP Due Dates
- m. [Page 4-35]:  
Table ~~E-3~~ 4-13: IID Submission of Data on Agricultural Dischargers Due Dates
- n. [Page 4-35]:  
Table ~~E-4~~ 4-14: Technical Report Due Dates
- o. [Page 4-39]:  
Table ~~E-5~~ 4-15: Letter Issue Due Dates
- p. [Page 4-39]:  
Table ~~E-6~~ 4-16: List of Program Participants Due Dates
- q. [Page 4-39]:  
Table ~~E-7~~ 4-17: ICFB Watershed Program Plan Due Dates
- r. [Page 4-39]:  
Table ~~E-8~~ 4-18: Tracking Implementation Plan Due Dates
- s. [Page 4-41]:  
Table ~~F-1~~ 4-19: New River at the International Boundary Trash TMDL Elements
- t. [Page 4-42]:  
Table ~~F-2~~ 4-20: Time Schedule for Implementation Plan Phases and Numeric Targets for Trash in the New River at the International Boundary
- u. [Page 4-42]:  
Table ~~F-3~~ 4-21: Requested Actions for Third Party Cooperating Agencies and Organizations

- v. [Page 4-43]:  
Table F-4 4-22: Requested Trash Reduction Actions for the United States International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) and USEPA
- w. [Page 4-44]:  
Table F-5 4-23: Requested Monitoring Actions for the USIBWC and USEPA
- x. [Page 4-45]:  
Table F-6 4-24: TMDL Review Schedule

2. “F. STORMWATER”, [page 4-4]

a. Revise text in the second bullet of the first paragraph to read as follows:

- construction activities that disturb five one or more acres of land; and

b. Delete paragraph four and five, and add text thereafter as indicated below:

~~Municipalities with over 100,000 persons who own and operate stormwater sewer systems are required to obtain municipal NPDES stormwater permits. Although there are currently no individual municipalities that exceed this population in this region, the Coachella Valley area contains approximately 250,000 persons. Therefore, the cities and other authorities in the Coachella Valley who own and operate storm drainage systems have been designated by the Regional Board as municipalities required to have a municipal NPDES stormwater permit. The cities located in the Coachella Valley, along with the County of Riverside, Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and the Coachella Valley Water District, have formed a group to apply as co-applicants for a single areawide municipal NPDES stormwater permit. Part 1 of their application was submitted in May 1992. Part 2 is due in May 1994. The permit should be issued by January 1995. Other municipalities may be required to have a permit as their populations grow or as smaller municipalities are phased into the regulations.~~

~~Caltrans has filed an application to discharge stormwater from their highways in the Region. This permit is expected to be issued by January 1994.”~~

The Municipal Storm Water Permitting Program regulates storm water discharges from Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s). MS4 permits, as described in the State Water Resources Control Board’s web site ([http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/stormwater/municipal.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/stormwater/municipal.shtml)), were issued in two phases.

Under Phase I, which started in 1990, the nine Regional Boards adopted NPDES storm water permits for medium municipalities with populations between 100,000 and 250,000 people, and for large municipalities with populations of 250,000 people or more. On March 14, 1991, the Executive Officer of the Colorado River Basin Regional

Board designated the Whitewater River region as an area required to have a Phase I NPDES MS4 permit. The first MS4 permit (Order No. 96-015, NPDES No. CAS 617002) expired on May 22, 2001. The permit was renewed by Regional Board Order No. 01-077 (NPDES No. CAS617002) on September 5, 2001.

The County of Riverside and the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, in cooperation with the Coachella Valley Water District and incorporated cities, including the cities of Banning, Cathedral City, Coachella, Desert Hot Springs, Indian Wells, Indio, La Quinta, Palm Desert, Palm Springs and Rancho Mirage (Joint Cities), jointly submitted an NPDES application on March 9, 2006. Along with the application, the Joint Cities submitted a report of waste discharge for re-issuance of the MS4 permit to carry out the activities, regional compliance programs, and responsibilities prescribed in the previously issued NPDES permit (Order No. 01-077). The final MS4 permit for the Joint Cities was adopted by the Regional Board (Order No. R7-2008-0001) on May 21, 2008.

As part of Phase II, the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) adopted a general permit for the discharge of storm water from small MS4s (WQ Order No. 2003-0005-DWQ) to provide permit coverage for smaller municipalities, including non-traditional small MS4s, which are government facilities such as military bases, public campuses, and prison and hospital complexes. By the end of December 2008, it is anticipated that Imperial County and the cities of El Centro, Imperial, Brawley, and Calexico will be enrolled under this program.

Discharges of storm water runoff from lands owned by Caltrans are currently regulated under a separate NPDES permit (Order No. 99-06-DWQ: NPDES No. CAS 000003) issued by the State Board. The complete description of this program can be found at the following link:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/stormwater/caltrans.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/stormwater/caltrans.shtml).

**3. “A. NEW RIVER POLLUTION BY MEXICO”, [page 4-11]:**

In the section titled “**A. NEW RIVER POLLUTION BY MEXICO**” beginning on page 4-8 of the Basin Plan, revise the paragraph on page 4-11 that begins “The long term strategy consists of.....” to read as indicated below, and add text thereafter:

The long-term strategy consists of a series of sewage infrastructure projects for Mexicali I and Mexicali II service areas to address New River pollution. The Mexicali I projects consist of the replacement/rehabilitation of about 44,000 feet of sewage pipes, rehabilitation of sewage pump stations, and expansion of the Mexicali I wastewater treatment plant to 30 mgd. The Mexicali II projects entail the construction of a new 20-mgd wastewater treatment plant (a.k.a. Mexicali II WWTP), the sewage Pumping Plant No. 4 for the new WWTP, installation of telemetry equipment for the WWTP and pumping plants, construction of 31,170 feet of discharge forcemain<sup>2</sup> for Pumping Plant No. 4, construction/rehabilitation of about 96,000 feet of sewer lines,

and rehabilitation of two sewage lift stations. The proposed projects have an estimated cost of \$50 million dollars. The USEPA will fund 55% and the Mexican government the remaining 45% of the total cost. The projects received conditional certification by the Border Environment Cooperation Commission (BECC) on December 5, 1997, and final certification as of January 7, 1998. In November 1999, the NAD Bank developed and submitted a financing plan for the projects to USEPA and the Mexican Government for approval. The plan was approved by both entities and includes Federal, State, and local funds to pay for project costs. Construction of the projects is underway, and should improve the overall quality of the New River, when properly operated and maintained. The construction of the WWTP has been delayed due to a law suit in Mexico, and construction is now expected to be completed in 2004. However, the existing lagoon systems and the proposed 20-mgd facility do not include disinfection. In December 2003, the Border Environment Cooperation Commission (BECC) granted conditional certification for construction of the Mexicali II WWTP at a site known as "Las Arenitas," which is outside the Salton Sea Transboundary Watershed. Effluent from Las Arenitas is discharged to a tributary of the Rio Hardy in Mexico. In October 2006, Mexico completed installation of the 48-inch force main for Las Arenitas WWTP, the modifications to Pumping Plant No. 4 to meet the new pumping requirements for Las Arenitas, and construction of the Las Arenitas WWTP. The WWTP was fully functional in December 2008. The cost for this project was approximately 26 million dollars.

Las Arenitas WWTP was designed to prevent any remaining untreated municipal sewage in Mexicali from discharging into the New River. As a result of Las Arenitas, 15-20 million gallons per day of raw sewage routinely present in the New River at the International Boundary (U.S. and Mexico) have been eliminated. Regional Board staff and USIBWC staff will continue to monitor the New River monthly, participate in bi-national technical committee meetings to address New River pollution from Mexico, and participate in bi-national tours to assess and enhance water quality improvements. Regional Board monitoring data (Table 4.1) indicate a 10-fold reduction in New River bacteria, and a reduction in volatile organic compounds to levels below detection as a result of Las Arenitas. The dissolved oxygen in the River at the International Boundary has also improved dramatically, eliminating the stench that characterized the New River at this location. Furthermore, the improvements and new WWTP have reduced nutrient loading into the Salton Sea by about twenty percent. Water quality impairments still occur at the International Boundary due to trash, and various non-point source pollution, such as pesticides from agricultural runoff, and nutrients and pathogens from confined animal feeding operations and slaughterhouses in Mexicali. The tables below compare New River water quality at the International Boundary before and after completion of the bi-national projects, including Las Arenitas.

Table 4.1 Comparison of Monitoring Results Before and After Bi-National Projects

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Pre Bi-national Projects</u>	<u>Post Bi-national Projects</u>
<u>Fecal, E. Coli</u>	<u>&gt; 1,000,000 MPN</u>	<u>~ 100 – 60,000 MPN</u>
<u>Dissolved Oxygen</u>	<u>&lt; 1.0 mg/L</u>	<u>~ 5.0 mg/L</u>
<u>Nutrients (PO4)</u>	<u>40% of Load to Salton Sea</u>	<u>20% of Load to Salton Sea</u>
<u>VOCs</u>	<u>Some detected</u>	<u>Non-detect</u>
<u>Trash</u>	<u>&gt; 150 cu yds/year</u>	<u>&gt; 150 cu yds/year</u>
<u>Pesticides</u>	<u>Detected</u>	<u>Still a problem</u>

## CHAPTER 5 - PLANS, POLICIES AND ISSUES

1. **“A. RESOLUTION No. 68-16”**, [page 5-1]

Include web address as shown below.

"Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California" (adopted October 28, 1968).

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board\\_decisions/adopted\\_orders/resolutions/1968/rs68\\_016.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_decisions/adopted_orders/resolutions/1968/rs68_016.pdf)

2. **“B. WATER QUALITY CONTROL”**, [page 5-1]

Revise text and include web address as shown below.

~~“State Policy for Water Quality Control” (adopted July 6, 1972, by motion).~~

“Water Quality Control Policy for Addressing Impaired Waters: Regulatory Structure and Options” (adopted June 15, 2005, Resolution No. 2005-0050).

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/tmdl/docs/iw\\_policy.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/docs/iw_policy.pdf)

3. **“C. THERMAL PLAN”**, [page 5-1]

Include web address as shown below.

“Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal Interstate Waters and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California”. (adopted on September 18, 1975, Resolution No. 75-89).

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/ocean/docs/wqplans/thermpln.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ocean/docs/wqplans/thermpln.pdf)

4. **“D. POWER PLANT COOLING”**, [page 5-1]

Include web address as shown below.

“Water Quality Control Policy on the Use and Disposal of Inland Waters Used for Powerplant Cooling” (adopted June 19, 1975; Resolution No. 75-58).

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board\\_decisions/adopted\\_orders/resolutions/1975/rs75\\_058.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_decisions/adopted_orders/resolutions/1975/rs75_058.pdf)

5. **“E. WATER RECLAMATION”**, [page 5-1]

Include web address as shown below.

“Policy with Respect to Water Reclamation in California” (adopted January 6, 1977, Resolution No. 77-1).

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board\\_decisions/adopted\\_orders/resolutions/1977/rs77\\_001.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_decisions/adopted_orders/resolutions/1977/rs77_001.pdf)

6. **“G. NON POINT SOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN”**, [page 5-1]

Revise text and include web address as shown below.

G. NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM STRATEGY AND IMPLEMENTATION  
MANAGEMENT PLAN

~~“Nonpoint Source Management Plan” (adopted November 15, 1988; Resolution No. 88-123).~~

Volume 1, Nonpoint Source Program Strategy and Implementation Plan, 1998-2013 (PROSIP) (adopted January 2000).

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/nps/docs/planvol1.doc](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/nps/docs/planvol1.doc)

7. **“H. SOURCES OF DRINKING WATER POLICY”**, [page 5-1]

Include web address as shown below.

“Sources of Drinking Water” (adopted May 19, 1988; Resolution No. 88-63).

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board\\_decisions/adopted\\_orders/resolutions/2006/rs2006\\_0008\\_rev\\_rs88\\_63.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_decisions/adopted_orders/resolutions/2006/rs2006_0008_rev_rs88_63.pdf)

8. Add the following title and text immediately after “H. SOURCES OF DRINKING WATER POLICY”, [page 5-1]

## **I. RECYCLED WATER POLICY**

“Recycled Water Policy” (adopted February 3, 2009, Resolution No. 2009-0011).  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/water\\_recycling\\_policy/docs/recycledwaterpolicy\\_approved.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/water_recycling_policy/docs/recycledwaterpolicy_approved.pdf)

### **9. “A. SEWERAGE SYSTEMS”, [page 5-1]**

Include web address as shown below.

“Guidelines Regarding Grouped or Community Sewerage Systems” (adopted January 28, 1981; Resolution No. 81-35).  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/coloradoriver/publications\\_forms/publications/docs/mmsew.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/coloradoriver/publications_forms/publications/docs/mmsew.pdf)

### **10. “B. SEWAGE DISPOSAL FROM LAND DEVELOPMENTS”, [page 5-1]**

Include web address as shown below.

“Guidelines for Sewage Disposal from Land Developments” (adopted March 14, 1979; Resolution No. 79-42).  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/coloradoriver/publications\\_forms/publications/docs/sewtoland.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/coloradoriver/publications_forms/publications/docs/sewtoland.pdf)

### **11. “H. WAIVER FOR WASTE DISCHARGES”, [page 5-2]**

Delete “H. WAIVER FOR WASTE DISCHARGES” as shown below.

#### **~~“H. WAIVER FOR WASTE DISCHARGES”~~**

~~“Waiving Waste Discharge Requirements for Specific Types of Discharges” (adopted March 31, 1993; Resolution No. 93-0004).~~